

# Is AI worthwhile for this process?

Evaluate a specific workflow in 5 minutes. Rate each criterion from 1 to 5 points, then add them up.

## Which process is this about?

Who does what, how often, and what is annoying about it?

---

---

---

## Scoring

| Criterion   | 1                     | 2                     | 3                     | 4                     | 5                     |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>1. Frequency</b><br><i>1 = rare (monthly or less often)</i><br><i>5 = daily or several times per week</i>  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>2. Manual effort</b><br><i>1 = minimal (less than 1 hour per week)</i><br><i>5 = very high (more than 5 hours per week)</i>                          | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>3. Process clarity</b><br><i>1 = chaotic, barely documented, many exceptions</i><br><i>5 = stable, clear, exceptions are known and manageable</i>    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>4. Data situation</b><br><i>1 = information is missing or only in people's heads</i><br><i>5 = all necessary data is digital and accessible</i>      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>5. Rule clarity</b><br><i>1 = much human judgment needed, hard to capture in rules</i><br><i>5 = clear rules, little gray area, easy to automate</i> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>6. Error tolerance</b><br><i>1 = serious consequences if errors occur (legal, financial)</i><br><i>5 = errors are easy to detect and correct</i>     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>7. Quick Win</b><br><i>1 = first results only after many months</i><br><i>5 = first results visible within a few weeks</i>                           | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>Total points (max. 35)</b>   |                       |                       |                       |                       | _____ / 35            |

### Evaluation

**28 to 35**

Points

**Very good use case. Start.**

The prerequisites are right. A first pilot makes sense.

**20 to 27**

Points

**Feasible, but with caution.**

Clarify criteria with 1 or 2 points first before you start.

**under 20**

Points

**Not ready yet.**

Too many prerequisites are missing. Which criteria have 1 or 2 points? Start there.

#### What to pay special attention to:

**Criterion 3 under 2 points**

Document and stabilize the process first, then automate it.

**Criterion 4 under 2 points**

Clarify the data situation first. AI can only work with data that actually exists.

**Criterion 6 under 2 points**

Plan Human-in-the-Loop as mandatory. No step without human approval.

---

#### Does your use case have good points?

I help you define the right first step and implement it. No big project, no promises, no hype.

**danielpanea.com**